		1
1		
2	SCRANTON CITY COUNCIL MEETING	
3		
4		
5		
6	HELD:	
7		
8	Monday, April 23, 2018	
9		
10	LOCATION:	
11	Council Chambers	
12	Scranton City Hall	
13	340 North Washington Avenue	
14	Scranton, Pennsylvania	
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24	CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR – OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER	
25	SATILIZE S. MANDOZZI, KIR SITIOIAE GOOKI KEI OKIEK	

## CITY OF SCRANTON COUNCIL:

PATRICK ROGAN, PRESIDENT 

TIM PERRY, VICE PRESIDENT

WAYNE EVANS

WILLIAM GAUGHAN

KYLE DONAHUE

LORI REED, CITY CLERK

JEANNE DAVIDSON, CLERK

AMIL MINORA, ESQUIRE - SOLICITOR

1 (Pledge of Allegiance recited and moment of reflection observed.) 2 3 MR. ROGAN: Roll call, please. 4 MS. DAVIDSON: Mr. Perry. 5 MR. PERRY: Here. MS. DAVIDSON: Mr. Donahue. 6 Here. 7 MR. DONAHUE: 8 MS. DAVIDSON: Mr. Evans. 9 MR. EVANS: Here. 10 MS. DAVIDSON: Mr. Gaughan. 11 MR. GAUGHAN: Here. MS. DAVIDSON: Mr. Rogan. 12 13 MR. ROGAN: Here. I would like to 14 make a motion to take from the table 15 Resolution Nos. 30, 31 and 32, 2018. 16 MR. PERRY: Second. 17 MR. ROGAN: On the question? These 18 resolutions pertain to the traffic signal 19 approval at two areas for the proposed 20 Sheetz project as well as the contract with 21 Peters design for the Serrenti Center. 22 These resolutions will be pushed in Seventh 23 Order for a final vote. Anyone who wishes 24 to speak on any of these items may do some 25 during citizens' participation. Anyone else

	4
1	on the question? All those in favor signify
2	by saying aye.
3	MR. PERRY: Aye.
4	MR. DONAHUE: Aye.
5	MR. EVANS: Aye.
6	MR. GAUGHAN: Aye.
7	MR. ROGAN: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
8	have it and so moved. Please dispense with
9	the reading of the minutes.
10	MS. REED: THIRD ORDER. 3-A.
11	CONTROLLER'S REPORT FOR THE MONTH ENDING
12	MARCH 31, 2018.
13	MR. ROGAN: Are there any comments?
14	If not, received and filed.
15	MS. REED: 3-B. LACKAWANNA COUNTY
16	PLANNING COMMISSION ORDINANCE/AMENDMENT
17	EVALUATION REPORT RECEIVED APRIL 16, 2018.
18	MR. ROGAN: Are there any comments?
19	If not, received and filed.
20	MS. REED: 3-C. TAX ASSESSOR'S
21	REPORT FOR HEARING DATE TO BE HELD MAY 2,
22	2018.
23	MR. ROGAN: Are there any comments?
24	If not, received and filed.
25	MS. REED: 3-D. MINUTES OF THE

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

into the record that I sent to Gerry Cross of the Pennsylvania Economy League April 19.

"I am writing to you because of my concern over a recent vote taken by the Scranton Composite Pension Board. Wednesday, April 4, 2018, the Composite Pension Board voted to evenly split 90 percent of the 22.9 million in sewer sale proceeds between the fire and the police pension funds with the remaining 10 percent allocated towards the non-uniform fund. With an even split of 45 percent of the sewer sale proceeds designated in each fire and police fund, the funding for the fire pension fund would remain severely financially distressed at 38.2 percent. My concern is the long-term viability of the pension funds was not taken into full consideration by the board. As our Act 47 Recovery coordinator, I would like to know your opinion on this matter. Do you believe that the vote taken by the Composite Pension Board to evenly split the sewer proceeds between fire and police funds was a financially sound one for the City of

3

4

5 6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Scranton and its taxpayers? Were you consulted on this matter by the city and did you have an opportunity to provide them your opinion on how the proceeds should be calculated? I would appreciate a response as soon as possible."

I did ask the city administration regarding this meeting that took place by the Composite Pension Board meeting why the city abstained from the vote. I did receive an e-mail reply from Danielle Kennedy, who is the city's human resources director and she is the proxy for Mayor Courtright on the Composite Pension Board and she said the following: "The city came prepared to table the vote as it is the responsibility of the board to look at the soundness of each fund the comprises the composite fund itself. We needed additional time to review various allocation percentages and as such abstained from the vote because if it came up for reconsideration pursuant to Robert's Rules, we would be able to introduce a motion to change the allocation."

So I appreciate the response from

1	the administration. As I look through the
2	minutes from the Composite Pension Board,
3	I'm troubled because it does seem that the
4	vote was rushed and the Pension Board did
5	not take into consideration the additional
6	analysis that was done that was requested
7	and paid for by the City of Scranton so I
8	don't know what the rush was, but I don't
9	think at this point it was a good idea. I
10	think they should have taken more time and
11	investigated that additional analysis that
12	was done. Thank you.
13	MR. ROGAN: Anyone else?
14	MS. REED: 3-H. MINUTES OF THE
15	COMPOSITE PENSION BOARD SPECIAL MEETING HELD
16	APRIL 4, 2018.
17	MR. ROGAN: Are there any comments?
18	If not, received and filed.
19	MS. REED: 3-I. AGENDA FOR THE
20	NON-UNIFORM MUNICIPAL PENSION BOARD MEETING
21	HELD APRIL 18, 2018.
22	MR. ROGAN: Are there any comments?
23	If not, received and filed.
24	MS. REED: 3-J. AGENDA FOR THE CITY
25	PLANNING COMMISSION MEETING TO BE HELD

APRIL 25, 2018.

MR. ROGAN: Are there any comments?

If not, received and filed. Do any council members have announcements at this time?

MR. PERRY: Yes, I have one announcement. The national prescription drug take back day will be held Saturday, this Saturday, the 28th, form 10 a.m. to 2 p.m. It provides an opportunity for Americans to prevent drug addiction and overdose deaths. The day aims to provide safe, convenient and responsible means of disposing of prescription drugs while also educating the public about potential for abuse of medications. Since the Drug Enforcement Administration first launched the take back day in 2010 more than 9 million pounds of medicine have been collected from the public.

This is very close to my heart. As many of you have been effected by opioids in the city, county, state and this whole country of ours it's important and it's real. The damages are severe and any time we can get opioids out of the hands of

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

people who don't absolutely need them the faster the better. There is no -- I've seen it happen, family close at hand to me, firsthand and it's awful and so please participate and this applies to you. The drop off center this year is going to be at the police headquarters at 100 South Washington Avenue, and again, the times are 2 a.m. to 2 p.m. Thank you.

MR. ROGAN: Anyone else?

MS. REED: FOURTH ORDER. CITIZENS' PARTICIPATION.

(The following speakers offered Joan Hodowanitz spoke on public comment: city business and matters of general concern. Les Spindler spoke on city business and matters of general concern. Ron Ellman spoke on matter of general Bob Bolus spoke on agenda items and matters of general concern. John Foley spoke on city business and matters of general concern. Dave Dobrzyn spoke on agenda items and matters of general concern. John Marrow spoke on matters of general Marie Schumacher spoke on agenda concern.

5-A.

Mr. Donahue,

1 items and matters of general concern. 2 Morgan spoke on matters of general concern. 3 Alex Lotorto spoke on matters of general 4 concern.) MS. REED: FIFTH ORDER. 5 MOTIONS. 6 7 MR. ROGAN: Mr. Perry, do you have 8 any motions or comments? 9 Mr. Rogan, I have no MR. PERRY: 10 motions at this time and all my comments are 11 going to be held for the agenda items. 12 MR. ROGAN: Thank you. 13 any motions or comments? 14 MR. DONAHUE: Yes, I just have a 15 couple of comments. I brought up before 16 that we did receive a response from the 17 administration regarding the garbage fee and 18 extending the discount period. They asked 19 us that we put it in legislation so that 20 will be on our agenda next week to extend 21 the deadline to May 31. 22 I'd also get a couple of complaints 23 last week about the building on Hickory 24 Street and South Webster that was recently 25 destroyed by fire and the structural

2

3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

integrity of that building so I did, you know, reach out to LIPS to see, you know, will this property be condemned and if so what's the status timeline for that demolition?

And in regards to the Lace Works project, we did get a response from Linda Aebli this week. The project, and I'm just going to read an e-mail written from Mrs. Aebli. "The project at Scranton Lace Works is moving along. The developer anticipates that the demolition of deteriorated buildings to begin on or about May 1. As the demolition starts, they will simultaneously begin the land development process with their contracted civil engineering consultant. That process will take approximately four to six months for all approvals and then they will immediately begin the site work and overall development including new construction and rehabilitation of the main historic buildings.

The project is very complex and involves many moving parts to transform the

entire area into a very special community.

The developers are very anxious to get this project completed for you one of which is the high cost of carrying the project onto which time it can generate revenue. The developers indicated that they will be reaching out to the residents of the area in a couple of weeks to keep them fully informed on what would be happening."

And it just finishes, "I hope this answers any questions the public and city council may have."

And that's all I have this evening.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you. Mr. Evans, any motions or comments.

MR. EVANS: Yes, thank you.

Saturday I attended the funeral services
this past weekend for my cousin's husband, a
good friend of mine and a good man, Richie
Pica, the former fire chief for the City of
Scranton passed away last week. He loved to
hunt and fish. He loved classic cars. He
loved his family and friends and he fiercely
loved his city. Years ago when Richie was
the fire chief under Mayor Connors I was on

the planning commission and when we crossed paths at family events I always had spirited debates on the city politics and the role of government. I always looked forward to seeing Richie. He had always had a big smile on for friends and family.

The funeral procession was accompanied by Engine 10 from East Mountain and his pallbearers were all Scranton firefighters. The procession was attended for Elmhurst and Fairview Memorial Cemetery, but first there was a slight detour, a long route of vehicles first drove downtown and passed by fire headquarters on Mulberry Street. The firefighters on duty that day stopped, stood at attention and saluted their former chief as the hearse drove by a fitting and touching tribute to their former leader.

It because of people like Richie
Pica that the city will always survive.
Like the city itself, he was good, strong,
decent and humble. I wish I had said it
while he was alive but I will say it now,
thank you, sir.

On another front, not quite as -well, in a different vein totally.

Yesterday's guest editorial in the Scranton
Times by Attorney John McGovern titled,

"City Tax Fairness Talk Empty" was not only
an attempt to mislead the public about city
council's position on reassessment, but it
is a failed and inaccurate attempt to link
attack Act 511 litigation to the issue of
reassessment.

Mr. McGovern states in reference to the Act 511 case that the administration and city council publically claim their reassessment will solve the tax case. I'm not aware of one members of the city council ever having made that claim. Another claim stated is that this city council takes advice from a financial consultant as proposing a new payroll tax and he goes onto say, "If reassessment is about fair and equitable tax structure, then what is the elimination and reduction of the city's wage tax, realty transfer tax, local services tax, amusement tax, business privilege and mercantile tax?"

Again, what Mr. McGovern conveniently fails to include in his inaccurate assessment of reassessment is the following: The new payroll tax will be spread among many more business sectors is fair and equitable, is both revenue neutral and only occurs with the simultaneous elimination of the business privilege and the mercantile tax, a tax we have all agreed is anything but fair and equitable.

Additionally, he does not mention that this city council authored two reductions in the real estate transfer tax of 4.4 percent to 3.7 percent, and the parking tax that he mentioned is no longer in existence. He fails to mention that city council has requested that the administration hire an independent third party to review all fees from the top down with a view to reduce, consolidate and eliminate numerous nuisance fees. He also does not mention that the city council also offered a 10 percent discount in refuse fee saving taxpayers more of their hard earned money and increasing cash flow in the city

\_ -

in the time of the year when real estate payments are limited.

Mr. McGovern seemed content on bringing about a conclusion that ultimately could drive the city into bankruptcy or at the very least dramatically increase property taxes beyond the reach of most of our citizens. While city council and our intent is on bringing about solutions that avoid bankruptcy and creating a better climate for all to live and work in.

I find it ironic that Mr. McGovern can claim indignation while fighting for this clients on Act 511 litigation, but he does not reserve the same outrage when Pennsylvania's constitution relative to tax uniformity is being violated, ignored and trampled on by two Lackawanna County commissioners. All the while, county and city property owners are left with a broken system of inequities and values that favors the rich and well-connected and hurts the most vulnerable of property owners, our lower income families and seniors.

In the future, if Mr. McGovern has

the urge to continue to litigate from the pages of the Scranton Times editorial pages I would simply encourage him to save it for the Courts. That's all I have for now. Thank you.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you. Mr. Gaughan, any motions or comments?

MR. GAUGHAN: Yes, thank you, just a few. First, I just want to say that in the last two years the city has invested quite a large amount of money from the CDBG funds into McLain Park, otherwise known as Rockwell park over in North Scranton, and construction on the park started last week.

So needless to say, the neighbors in that neighborhood are extremely pleased and excited about the developments. That park, for those of you who are not familiar, is in the heart of that neighborhood and I'm just glad that the city decided to invest in North Scranton and in that neighborhood and I think that, you know, that park hasn't seen really any significant funding in the last 25 years. So, again, I'm extremely pleased and I can't wait to see what the

finished product looks like.

Second thing, we received a complaint about abandoned vehicles in the 1400 block of West Locust Street and people parking this the alley around Woods Place so Mrs. Reed had sent a letter or sent an e-mail rather to the chief of police.

And last thing I just want to mention, just to respond to Ms. Schumacher's comment about the pension, just to be clear Mr. Rogan has a seat on the Pension Board. Mrs. Reed is his proxy. So Mr. Rogan does not speak for any other member of council. He doesn't confer with us on the votes that he takes, that's his seat on the pension board. So I don't have a vote, Mr. Evans doesn't have a vote, that is solely Mr. Rogan's vote and responsibility and also in his subcommittee I believe the pension review, so just to make that extremely clear.

And, secondly, what I didn't mention
-- I failed to mention earlier when I was
commenting on the Composite Pension Board
meeting and in response with what Mr. Foley

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

said, it may be true and I don't necessarily disagree with Mr. Foley that, you know, maybe it really doesn't matter what percentage funding from the sewer sale goes into the pension funds because the MMO was Maybe the going to be high no matter what. city can't afford that, and I know it can't afford it over the next few years, but the reality of the situation is they are going to divvy up the funds, \$22.9 million from the sale of the Sewer Authority so I think it's important that it's done the right way and it's my belief, and especially after reading the minutes from the Composite Pension Board that the vote was rushed, and that all of the analysis was not looked at before the vote was taken. And that's all I have. Thank you.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you very much.

Just to follow up on that, Councilman

Donahue mentioned earlier and Councilman

Gaughan that's correct. It's the council

president's on the board. I did direct my

proxy to vote the way she did. I do

disagree that the vote was rushed. This was

actually something I have been in discussions with members from the Pension Board for months prior so it's not something that was done at a last minute meeting. And as I mentioned before, these allocations were actually published in the newspaper weeks prior to this meeting and there weren't any objections to that allocation at that point in time, but as was mentioned, that is correct and I know some of my colleagues disagree with that decision and that's their right to their own opinion.

Only one item and the rest I will hold for agenda items, I did ask last week for an update on the Novembrino pool complex and we did receive a letter from Linda Aebli and it says, "Mr. Casciano and my staff forwarded your e-mail dated April 13 regarding the status of the Novembrino pool. The final Novembrino splash park and recreation complex post-construction plans were submitted on April 5, 2018, through the Landscape Architect McLane and Associates and the Pennsylvania Department of the Conservation and Natural Resources for

approval. This process generally takes four to six weeks to be followed by reviews from the Lackawanna County Conservation District, Northeast Independent Consultants, followed by HUD environmental review prepared by the city planner Don King. The splash park equipment and specifications and costs for Recreation Inserts USA through the costar programs have been submitted for purchase through the Department of Parks and Recreation.

Only after the approvals are in place the project will be ready to bid out. Following the legal review of the bids and the contractor selection, demolition of the pools, site preparation and construction will commence. Commencement and final completion of the project will be influenced by the fall winter weather conditions along with the practical timing of splash park equipment installation. This office will keep city council updated on this high priority project as we move forward.

So I would like to thank Linda Aebli for getting back to us very quickly on this

and I do like saying that it states that it's a high priority project. As I mentioned probably a hundred times, as a kid growing up in West Side this is where everyone -- you went to the Novembrino pool to swim and it's heartbreaking driving by there seeing it in it's current condition so I do think that, you know, this would be a great edition to that neighborhood in West Side and it's sorely needed.

MR. GAUGHAN: I just have one additional thing if might, if I could add, Mr. Rogan. Just to clarify about the Composite Pension Board meeting, and Mr. Rogan stated that it was in the newspaper about 45, 45, 10 split, but I just want to read a quick quote from one of the Pension Board's financial advisors, and again I quote, he says, "This is a template to begin looking at the project because nobody had anything to start talks about the allocation."

He wants to be very specific with everybody that they are not proposing that, he is not advocating a position on it, it

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

was just a start up discussion at the city level because everyone has been asking about this to figure out how the funds are going to go in. He also says that he wants to be crystal clear that it was the start of a discussion and a model, it was not a So I think that's where the proposal. difference is that the city paid for another analysis and I don't see in here where that was actually discussed about different funding levels so that's where I was confused at looking at this whole issue that why would you only take a look at 45, 45, 10 and not look at the analysis that the city paid for, so that's where the confusion comes in. Thank you.

MR. ROGAN: I would just state, I locked at a number of different -- there was a number of different proposals. It wasn't just the 45/45/10 that was considered. There were other proposals. I felt that was the most fair. It certainly wasn't rushed, it was something that weeks before the vote, you know, I felt that was the right decision to make.

MS. REED: 5-B. NO BUSINESS AT THIS 1 TIME. 2 3 SIXTH ORDER. 6-A. READING BY TITLE 4 - FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO. 13, 2018 - AN 5 ORDINANCE - AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE OFFICIALS OF THE CITY OF 6 SCRANTON TO ENTER INTO A LEASE AGREEMENT 7 8 WITH WEST SCRANTON LITTLE LEAGUE, INC. FOR 9 USE OF CITY OWNED PROPERTY FOR A THREE (3) YEAR PERIOD COMMENCING APRIL 15, 2018 AND 10 11 ENDING APRIL 14, 2021. 12 MR. ROGAN: You've heard reading by 13 title of Item 6-A, what is your pleasure? 14 MR. PERRY: I move that Item 6-A 15 pass reading by title. 16 MR. EVANS: Second. 17 MR. ROGAN: On the question? A11 18 those in favor signify by saying aye. 19 MR. PERRY: Aye. 20 MR. DONAHUE: Aye. 21 MR. EVANS: Aye. 22 MR. GAUGHAN: Aye. 23 MR. ROGAN: Aye. Opposed? The ayes 24 have it and so moved. 25 MS. REED: 6-B. READING BY TITLE -

1	FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO. 14, 2018 - AN
2	ORDINANCE - AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER
3	APPROPRIATE OFFICIALS OF THE CITY OF
4	SCRANTON TO ENTER INTO A LEASE AGREEMENT
5	WITH THE SCRANTON SCHOOL DISTRICT FOR USE OF
6	CITY OWNED PROPERTY AS MORE FULLY DESCRIBED
7	IN THE LEASE AGREEMENT ATTACHED HERETO AS
8	EXHIBIT "A" FOR A THREE (3) YEAR PERIOD
9	COMMENCING APRIL 15, 2018 AND ENDING APRIL
10	14, 2021.
11	MR. ROGAN: You've heard reading by
12	title of Item 6-B, what is your pleasure?
13	MR. PERRY: I move that Item 6-B
14	pass reading by title.
15	MR. EVANS: Second.
16	MR. ROGAN: On the question? All
17	those in favor signify by saying aye.
18	MR. PERRY: Aye.
19	MR. DONAHUE: Aye.
20	MR. EVANS: Aye.
21	MR. GAUGHAN: Aye.
22	MR. ROGAN: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
23	have it and so moved.
24	MS. REED: 6-C. READING BY TITLE -
25	FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO. 15, 2018 - AN

ORDINANCE — AMENDING FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO.
64, 2014, AN ORDINANCE (AS AMENDED) ENTITLED
"AN ORDINANCE ADOPTING THE QUALITY OF LIFE
AND VIOLATIONS TICKET PROCESS IN THE CITY OF
SCRANTON" BE AMENDED TO INCLUDE A NEW
DEFINITION IN SECTION 2. DEFINITIONS, NEW
VIOLATIONS IN SECTION 3. QUALITY OF LIFE
VIOLATIONS AND NEW FINES AND PENALTIES IN
SECTION 9. FINES AND PENALTIES.

MR. ROGAN: You've heard reading by title of Item 6-C, what is your pleasure?

MR. PERRY: I move that Item 6-C

pass reading by title.

MR. EVANS: Second.

MR. ROGAN: On the question?

MR. GAUGHAN: Yes, on the question.

I just want to thank Mr. Hinton from
Licensing and Inspections for coming in and
having a discussion on this agenda item in
our caucus. The only thing that I would add
to this, and it was discussed in our caucus,
I do think that there needs to be some sort
of language this the quality of the life
ordinance that states that there should be a
warning given by the inspector before they

22

23

24

25

20 21

issue an actual fine. A lot of times, you know, maybe people aren't aware, they are new to the city, so I think we need to give Mr. Hinton did explain that them a chance. a lot of times they do give a warning but it's at the discretion of the inspector and I do think that we need to take that discretion away because we should give everybody a fair chance no matter what it is, and as somebody mentioned in the caucus it's not about collecting a fine it's about enforcing -- it's about cleaning up the city, so the goal here is not to collect \$100 off of somebody, it's to make sure that their property is clean and the neighborhood looks nice.

So hopefully within the next week we can work on that amendment. I do think --Mr. Hinton also explained that if you are giving out a citation it's required that they give a chance for somebody to clean it up before they issue a fine but with a quality of the life ticket they don't necessarily have to do that. Thank you.

> MR. EVANS: On the question, I would

2

3

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

agree with that. We all have a preconversation about that particular issue, now we have to sort of come up with the language that we all agree with. One of the things we would look at is there's a warning but it would probably for first time offenders only. Repeat offenders don't really need that courtesy, so we will work it out between now and next week.

MR. PERRY: Yes, on the question. Ι agree with Councilman Evans and Gaughan I do thank Mr. Hinton for coming in fully. and explaining this to us. But, yes, the discretion of the warnings that's where I Discretion is a have an issue with. slippery slope. Discretion is kind of what mood are you in today? I believe that this should not be punitive, this should be something to make the neighborhoods better and to get everybody on the same page when it comes to our rules and regulations. Everybody gets a fair shake.

Some of the legislation I would like it to read that fines can be -- fines will be given after a warning is given. I would

24

25

1

like that warning to be held on file and I would like that warning to be signed, whether it's 24 hours or 48 hours they have the inspector come back and actually issue that fine. You know, we can discuss that, but, you know, I want somewhere where if they have to go back and they want to litigate this or mitigate this, you know, we have a signed warning saying, you know, you were presented with the issue, you were given a warning and given time, it hasn't been taken care of, and then or only then would I feel comfortable with some kind of punitive damage because, again, that's not what these regulations are about, it's just about getting everybody on the same page.

MR. ROGAN: Anyone else? All those in favor signify by saying aye.

MR. PERRY: Aye.

MR. DONAHUE: Aye.

MR. EVANS: Aye.

MR. GAUGHAN: Aye.

MR. ROGAN: Aye. Opposed? The ayes have it and so moved.

MS. REED: SEVENTH ORDER. 7-A. FOR

CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON RULES -FOR ADOPTION - FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO. 12, 2018 - ADOPTING THE 2012 EDITION OF THE INTERNATIONAL PROPERTY MAINTENANCE CODE, REGULATING AND GOVERNING THE CONDITIONS AND MAINTENANCE OF ALL PROPERTY, BUILDINGS AND STRUCTURES, BY PROVIDING THE STANDARDS FOR SUPPLIED UTILITIES AND FACILITIES AND OTHER PHYSICAL THINGS AND CONDITIONS ESSENTIAL TO ENSURE THAT STRUCTURES ARE SAFE, SANITARY AND FIT FOR OCCUPATION AND USE; THE CONDEMNATION OF BUILDINGS AND STRUCTURES UNFIT FOR HUMAN OCCUPANCY AND USE, AND THE DEMOLITION OF SUCH EXISTING STRUCTURES IN THE CITY OF SCRANTON: PROVIDING FOR THE ISSUANCE OF PERMITS AND COLLECTION OF FEES THEREFOR; REPEALING SECTION 1 OF ORDINANCE NO. 37, 2014 OF THE CITY OF SCRANTON AND ALL OTHER ORDINANCES AND PARTS OF ORDINANCES IN CONFLICT THEREWITH.

MR. ROGAN: As Chairperson for the Committee on Rules, I recommend final passage of Item 7-A.

MR. DONAHUE: Second.

MR. ROGAN: On the question? Roll

24

25

23

call, please? 1 MS. DAVIDSON: Mr. Perry. 2 3 MR. PERRY: Yes. MS. DAVIDSON: Mr. Donahue. 4 MR. DONAHUE: Yes. 5 MS. DAVIDSON: Mr. Evans. 6 MR. EVANS: Yes. 7 8 MS. DAVIDSON: Mr. Gaughan. 9 MR. GAUGHAN: Yes. 10 MS. DAVIDSON: Mr. Rogan. 11 MR. ROGAN: Yes. I hereby declare 12 Item 7-A legally and lawfully adopted. MS. REED: 7-B. FOR CONSIDERATION BY 13 14 THE COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY - FOR 15 ADOPTION - RESOLUTION NO. 35, 3018 -16 AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE 17 CITY OFFICIALS TO APPLY FOR AND EXECUTE A 18 GRANT APPLICATION BY THE CITY OF SCRANTON 19 POLICE DEPARTMENT AND, IF SUCCESSFUL, A 20 GRANT AGREEMENT, AND ACCEPT THE FUNDS 21 RELATED THERETO FROM THE PENNSYLVANIA 22 COMMISSION ON CRIME AND DELINQUENCY/JUSTICE 23 ASSISTANCE GRANT PROGRAM (PCCD JAG) IN THE 24 AMOUNT OF \$150,000.00. 25 MR. ROGAN: What is the

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

recommendation for the Chair for the Committee on Public Safety?

MR. PERRY: For the Committee on Public Safety, I recommend final passage of Item 7-B.

MR. EVANS: Second.

MR. ROGAN: On the question?

MR. PERRY: Yes, on the question. Ι just want to echo what Mr. Gaughan said last week about Chief Graziano and the Police Department. They utilize the JAG program to the best of their ability and we get lots of funding for a lot of the tools that the officers get to use for training and then also out in the field, and this is one example and there is many more. There is always applications out, there is more coming. You know, they just do a great job and I just want to commend them. Thank you.

MR. ROGAN: And just to reiterate what was mentioned by my colleagues, this is an excellent program and the Police Department really does a good job of obtaining grants. I do want to mention there is another vote coming up regarding

1	the Serrenti Center and this program and
2	actually this training program would actual
3	take place at that center so I hope everyone
4	that votes for this ordinance would be
5	voting for the other one. Roll call,
6	please?
7	MS. DAVIDSON: Mr. Perry.
8	MR. PERRY: Yes.
9	MS. DAVIDSON: Mr. Donahue.
10	MR. DONAHUE: Yes.
11	MS. DAVIDSON: Mr. Evans.
12	MR. EVANS: Yes.
13	MS. DAVIDSON: Mr. Gaughan.
14	MR. GAUGHAN: Yes.
15	MS. DAVIDSON: Mr. Rogan.
16	MR. ROGAN: Yes. I hereby declare
17	Item 7-B legally and lawfully adopted.
18	MS. REED: 7-C. FOR CONSIDERATION BY
19	THE COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT -
20	FOR ADOPTION - RESOLUTION NO. 36, 3018 -
21	ACCEPTING THE RECOMMENDATION OF THE
22	HISTORICAL ARCHITECTURE REVIEW BOARD
23	("HARB") AND APPROVING THE CERTIFICATE OF
24	APPROPRIATENESS FOR MICHAEL CRAWFORD,
25	MULTISCAPE, INC., 995 SOUTH TOWNSHIP

1	BOULEVARD, PITTSTON, PA, 18640, AND HIGHLAND
2	ASSOCIATES, 102 HIGHLAND AVENUE, CLARKS
3	SUMMIT, PA, 18411, FOR REPAIR OF MORTAR
4	JOINTS, CUTTING AND POINTING, TO SECTIONS OF
5	THE EXTERIOR FAÇADE AT VINE STREET, DIX
6	COURT, MULBERRY STREET, AND NORTH WASHINGTON
7	AVENUE SIDES, SITUATED AT THE SCRANTON
8	CULTURAL CENTER, 420 NORTH WASHINGTON
9	AVENUE, SCRANTON, PA 18503.
10	MR. ROGAN: What is the
11	recommendation for the Chairperson for the
12	Committee on Community Development?
13	MR. DONAHUE: As Chair for the
14	Committee on Community Development, I
15	recommend final passage of Item 7-C.
16	MR. EVANS: Second.
17	MR. ROGAN: On the question? Roll
18	call, please?
19	MS. DAVIDSON: Mr. Perry.
20	MR. PERRY: Yes.
21	MS. DAVIDSON: Mr. Donahue.
22	MR. DONAHUE: Yes.
23	MS. DAVIDSON: Mr. Evans.
24	MR. EVANS: Yes.
25	MS. DAVIDSON: Mr. Gaughan.

1	MR. GAUGHAN: Yes.
2	MS. DAVIDSON: Mr. Rogan.
3	MR. ROGAN: Yes. I hereby declare
4	Item 7-C legally and lawfully adopted.
5	MS. REED: 7-D - PREVIOUSLY TABLED -
6	FOR CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC
7	WORKS FOR ADOPTION - RESOLUTION NO. 30-2018
8	- AUTHORIZING THE DIRECTOR OF THE DEPARTMENT
9	OF PUBLIC WORKS OF THE CITY OF SCRANTON TO
10	SIGN AND SUBMIT THE PENNSYLVANIA DEPARTMENT
11	OF TRANSPORTATION ("PENNDOT") APPLICATION
12	FOR TRAFFIC SIGNAL APPROVAL FOR TRAFFIC
13	SIGNAL PERMIT NO. 40115 TO UPGRADE TRAFFIC
14	SIGNAL AT SEVENTH AVENUE (SR 3029) AND WEST
15	LINDEN STREET (SR 3020).
16	MR. ROGAN: What is the
17	recommendation for the Chair for the
18	Committee on Public Works?
19	MR. GAUGHAN: As Chairperson for the
20	Committee on Public Works, I recommend final
21	passage of Item 7-D.
22	MR. DONAHUE: Second.
23	MR. ROGAN: On the question?
24	MR. GAUGHAN: Yes, on the question.
25	I am going to be voting for this. I know

it's been in the newspaper and talked about quite extensively in the public and at these council meetings because this is related to Sheetz. I just want to make it clear, as I did in the caucus a week ago, that we are not voting on the Sheetz project, we are voting on upgrading the traffic signal so voting against this really wouldn't make much sense in my opinion because the alternative would not be to upgrade the traffic signal and it has to be done anyways.

On the Sheetz project, however, I am in favor of it. You know, there was an initial concern that we wanted more information about the traffic set up because that is a heavily traveled area in the morning, especially during the school, but I think that new business and competition is a good thing for the city. I mean, we should be doing everything in our power to do whatever it takes to get new businesses in the City of Scranton, especially with the economic climate that we find ourselves this.

The argument that other gas stations or other, you know, companies might be effected by this in my opinion is a little ridiculous and disingenuous because let's just use the example of the Trader Joe's, everybody talks about Trader Joe's. If they want to move into the City of Scranton Gerrity's I'm sure wouldn't like because they are a supermarket, too. Would we be against that because Gerrity's was against it or because it would effect other businesses or invite more competition? I just don't see that argument.

The other argument that's been made about alcohol sales somebody said a week or two ago, and this is true, there is a bar right across the street from Memorial Stadium so I think you can shoot down that argument as well.

So, again, I just wanted to comment on the Sheetz project overall. I think it's going to be a good thing for the city. We should be promoting business and competition wherever and whenever we can, but for these two pieces that we are going to vote on we

2

3

4 5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

are voting on upgrading the traffic signal, we are not voting on the Sheetz project.

Thank you.

MR. PERRY: Yes, on the question. Yes, Mr. Gaughan is right the black and white of this legislation is the traffic signal and how we are going to make sure that there is safety and the flow of traffic is fit for that business on that corner. It's zoned properly and it has to go through the whole city planner and engineer division, but the only thing the city council is going to be voting on tonight is the traffic signal and the pattern of the flow of the traffic. That is it. So based on that and based on the discussions we have had with the city planner, the city engineer, I feel confident that the black and white of this legislation will be satisfied by the measures that are going to take for this project. So based on that I'm going to be voting "yes".

MR. ROGAN: My colleagues are correct that we are only voting on traffic.

We are not voting on whether you want Sheetz

2

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

to come to Scranton or you don't. struggled with this quite a bit because I do want to see new businesses coming to the City of Scranton at all costs. I do not think this is the right location. I think there should be a Sheetz in Scranton but not in this location because of the traffic issues, specifically, at North Main Avenue where, as our city engineer mentioned, it is an older streetlight and I may be biased because I travel this route literally twice every day and I see the traffic that's there currently, I do think there will be traffic issues with this project in this location. That's not to say I'm against the Sheetz coming to Scranton. I certainly would support this project just in a different location.

MR. EVANS: On the question, as has been stated our role and focus on this legislation is truly on voting on the traffic signalization and safety for vehicular and pedestrian traffic so the public caucus last week with Reilly Associates, the city engineer and the city

planner, I firmly believe that the design will be comprehensive and appropriate.

This is really not a debate on, you know, small business versus large business, but I will say for the record, nobody is more probusiness and more small business than this councilman, but I will continue to advocate for that small business and work towards moving any and all impediments that will make Scranton once again the friendly city for all, including the business community, so I am supportive of this application and supportive of what we are doing tonight.

What did we briefly with the caucus was simply a routine matter of bringing the engineer in and discuss what was happening with the traffic. I know it turned into something a little more than that, but we all didn't expect that to happen but I guess it was a good debate so we are going to vote tonight.

MR. ROGAN: Anyone else? Roll call, please?

MS. DAVIDSON: Mr. Perry.

1 MR. PERRY: Yes. MS. DAVIDSON: Mr. Donahue. 2 3 MR. DONAHUE: Yes. MS. DAVIDSON: Mr. Evans. 4 MR. EVANS: Yes. 5 MS. DAVIDSON: Mr. Gaughan. 6 7 MR. GAUGHAN: Yes. 8 MS. DAVIDSON: Mr. Rogan. 9 MR. ROGAN: No. I hereby declare 10 Item 7-D legally and lawfully adopted. MS. REED: 7-E. PREVIOUSLY TABLED -11 12 FOR CONSIDERATION BY THE INTRODUCTION - A RESOLUTION NO. 31 -2018- AUTHORIZING THE 13 14 DIRECTOR OF THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS OF THE CITY OF SCRANTON TO SIGN AND SUBMIT 15 16 THE PENNSYLVANIA DEPARTMENT OF 17 TRANSPORTATION ("PENNDOT") APPLICATION FOR 18 TRAFFIC SIGNAL APPROVAL FOR TRAFFIC SIGNAL 19 PERMIT NO. 40308 TO UPGRADE TRAFFIC SIGNAL 20 AT WEST LINDEN STREET (SR 3020) AND NORTH 21 EIGHTH AVENUE/MOUNT PLEASANT DRIVE. 22 MR. ROGAN: What is the 23 recommendation of the Chairperson for the 24 Committee on Public Works? 25 MR. GAUGHAN: As Chairperson for the

	43
1	Committee on Public Works, I recommend final
2	passage of Item 7-E.
3	MR. DONAHUE: Second.
4	MR. ROGAN: On the question? Roll
5	call, please?
6	MS. DAVIDSON: Mr. Perry.
7	MR. PERRY: Yes.
8	MS. DAVIDSON: Mr. Donahue.
9	MR. DONAHUE: Yes.
10	MS. DAVIDSON: Mr. Evans.
11	MR. EVANS: Yes.
12	MS. DAVIDSON: Mr. Gaughan.
13	MR. GAUGHAN: Yes.
14	MS. DAVIDSON: Mr. Rogan.
15	MR. ROGAN: No. I hereby declare
16	Item 7-E legally and lawfully adopted.
17	MS. REED: 7-F - PREVIOUSLY TABLED -
18	FOR CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON RULES
19	- FOR ADOPTION - RESOLUTION NO. 32-2018 -
20	AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE
21	CITY OFFICIALS TO EXECUTE AND ENTER INTO A
22	CONTRACT WITH PETERS DESIGN GROUP, INC. FOR
23	PROFESSIONAL ARCHITECTURAL AND ENGINEERING
24	SERVICES FOR THE SERRENTI MEMORIAL ARMY
25	RESERVE CENTER RENOVATION PROJECT.

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. ROGAN: As Chairperson for the Committee on Rules, I recommend final passage of Item 7-F.

MR. PERRY: Second.

MR. ROGAN: On the question?

MR. GAUGHAN: Yes, on the question.

I'm sure that Peters Design Group will do fantastic job. I'm certainly not questioning the company or the work that they do. My one major concern and the reason that I'll be voting against this is that in fee schedule Peters Design Group has stated at that this be a negotiated price for construction monitoring so that would be a separate price and a separate percentage of the overall contract and the final cost of the project. It's just my concern that the sky could be limit this. If it was going to be a negotiated price for construction monitoring, it should have been set in the contract. It should not be open for interpretation because we could be opening ourselves up to higher costs.

Also, just as an aside, bidders were not allowed to see a proposed budget or at

least an estimate of the budget. They were also not allowed to tour the entire facility, including the inside of the facility. This is highly unusual in my opinion and I'm not sure why that wasn't part of the RFQ so I'll be voting "no". Thank you.

MR. ROGAN: Anyone else?

MR. DONAHUE: Yes, on the question, I will also be voting against this. I have the same reservations Mr. Gaughan has and I just believe it's way to open ended and although this money will be coming from grant funding I believe it's our responsibility to spend that responsibly. Thank you.

MR. EVANS: On the question, I first want to thank Councilman Gaughan about asking some important questions about this contract, but I am comfortable with the answers provided Attorney Eskra, the city solicitor, and be voting "yes" on this legislation. I feel that because of the cost of the contract is limited and because of the grant requirements and the chance for

cost order or change orders in my mind are limited and I would expect that the police department and the business administrator, whoever that may be in the future, would also protect or taxpayers making sure costs are contained.

MR. ROGAN: And I believe the cost is limited to a total percentage of the total cost of the project, so that would put a cap on it. Anyone else?

MR. PERRY: Yes, on the question. I also had some questions on this project I believe it was tabled and for a good reason. My question was answered, it was is this project still on the books for grant funding and the answer was yes. The agreement was when we wanted to allocate this building and use it to hold our expensive police equipment was that they are not going to overspend their budget. They are going to get grants, use grant money to improve the project and I just wanted to make sure we weren't going out of bounds and spending money from the general fund and, you know, taking a loss and I was assured that it's

	47
1	still a grant supplemented project and I
2	also want to say on the record that I'm
3	still on board with getting rid of our Ash
4	Street locations and using that to further
5	supplement the project as it goes forward.
6	MR. ROGAN: Roll call, please?
7	MS. DAVIDSON: Mr. Perry.
8	MR. PERRY: Yes.
9	MS. DAVIDSON: Mr. Donahue.
10	MR. DONAHUE: No.
11	MS. DAVIDSON: Mr. Evans.
12	MR. EVANS: Yes.
13	MS. DAVIDSON: Mr. Gaughan.
14	MR. GAUGHAN: No.
15	MS. DAVIDSON: Mr. Rogan.
16	MR. ROGAN: Yes. I hereby declare
17	Item 7-F legally and lawfully adopted.
18	If there is no further business,
19	I'll entertain a motion to adjourn.
20	MR. PERRY: Motion to adjourn.
21	MR. ROGAN: Meeting adjourned.
22	
23	
24	

## CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence are contained fully and accurately in the notes of testimony taken by me at the hearing of the above-captioned matter and that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the same to the best of my ability.

.

CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER